## Questions following the Contribution adopted by the XXXIV COSAC on 11 October 2005 (OJ C 322 2005)

## Better regulation: Impact assessments

- 1) COSAC welcomed the better regulation initiative and called on the Commission to produce an integrated impact assessment for all major initiatives in its work programme, as proposed on page 5 of the Communication, "Better Regulation for Growth and Jobs in the European Union" (COM(2005) 97 final). How many work programme initiatives did the Commission adopt in the period 1 November 2005 to 28 February 2006? How many of these initiatives were accompanied by an integrated impact assessment?
- 2) COSAC called on the Commission to produce one-page summaries of all its impact assessments to assist in understanding of the material quickly and efficiently; to translate these summaries into all the official Community languages; and to send them directly to the national parliaments without delay. Has the Commission taken steps to start producing one-page summaries of its impact assessments?
- 3) COSAC called on the Commission to send all impact assessments and roadmaps directly to national parliaments and to publish its impact assessments and roadmaps in all the official Community languages.

  Has the Commission sent any impact assessments or roadmaps directly to national parliaments? What proportion of its impact assessments does the Commission translate into all the official Community languages?
- 4) COSAC called on the EU institutions and Member States to develop a common approach to assessing administrative costs. What steps has the Commission taken to develop a common approach to assessing administrative costs?
- 5) COSAC requested that under the co-decision procedure the Commission update its impact assessments following first reading in the European Parliament, a common position from the Council and second reading in the European Parliament and before the meeting of a conciliation committee. Has the Commission updated any of its impact assessments as its proposals have moved through the co-decision procedure?
- 6) COSAC stresses the need for impact assessments to be objective.

  How does the Commission ensure that its impact assessments are objective? Is this an issue that will be covered in the independent evaluation of the impact assessment system?
- 7) COSAC called on the Commission to focus its impact assessments on the three elements of the Lisbon Strategy, that is, the economic, social and environmental impacts.
  - How do the Commission's impact assessment guidelines ensure that impact assessments focus on the three elements of the Lisbon Strategy?

- 8) COSAC requested the Commission to produce impact assessments for those legislative proposals that it proposes to withdraw.

  Has the Commission produced impact assessments for those legislative proposals that it proposes to withdraw?
- 9) COSAC called on the Commission to create a public database to include all the proposals in the annual legislative and work programme, with links to their impact assessments and roadmaps.
  - Has the Commission taken any steps to establish such a database?